

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,836	06/05/2006	Aleksander Hurwic	825-03-699	8536	
23409 MICHAEL BE	7590 02/03/2010 EST & FRIEDRICH LLE		EXAM	IINER	
100 E WISCO	NSIN AVENUE	RASHID, MAHBUBUR			
Suite 3300 MILWAUKEI	E. WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3657		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/03/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)						
10/581,836	HURWIC ET AL.						
Examiner	Art Unit						
MAHBUBUR RASHID	3657						

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) fi	ed on <u>05 June 2006</u> .
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is

closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							

Application Papers

9)∐ In	e specificatio	n is obj	ectea to I	oy tne i	=xamıı	ner.								
10)⊠ Th	e drawing(s)	filed on	<u>05 June</u>	2006 i	s/are:	a)⊠ :	accept	ed or	. p)[□	objected	d to b	y the E	xaminer	

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) of	or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	

- 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
- 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(c) (FTO/SB/CE)
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application.
 6) Other:

Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06/05/2006</u>.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,836

Art Unit: 3657

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, "a relatively high pressure" in line 4 is not clear what level of high pressure is considered as "a relatively high pressure."

Regarding claim 1, "a relatively low pressure" in line 5 is not clear what level of low pressure is considered as "a relatively low pressure."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Application/Control Number: 10/581,836

Art Unit: 3657

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Cho (KR 98050853 A) in view of Yoshioka (JP 2003-104046), and further in view of Natkin et al. (US 2003/0098011 A1).

Regarding claims 1-6, Cho discloses a braking device fitted with an air conditioning circuit containing a cooling fluid (see fig.), a pneumatic brake booster (1) comprising a variable volume working chamber and a control valve (3) but does not disclose a compressor and an expansion valve, and the gaseous fluid at different pressure levels as claimed. However, Yoshioka discloses a brake booster (see fig. 1; see also [0005]-[0006]) in communication with air conditioning system (13), wherein the system also includes a compressor and an expansion valve (9) to provide gaseous fluid at different pressure levels ([0016] and [0020]-[0023]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Cho as taught by Yoshioka in order to provide adequate force and pressure to the system so that the air conditioner can be operated appropriately without having any effect on the brake booster and thus making the system more efficient and reliable.

The modified system of Cho discloses all claimed limitations but fails to disclose selective deliverance of fluid by claimed fluid sources through separate conduits.

However, Natkin discloses an engine charge air conditioning system including a charge booster and an intercooler for selectively transferring heat from charge air flowing from

Application/Control Number: 10/581,836

Art Unit: 3657

the booster through separate lines (see fig. 1 and abstract and [0006]-[0008]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide separate conduits to be selective operated with different pressure as taught by Natkin in the modified system of Cho in order to provide proper pressure to the designated area of the system making the system more reliable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MAHBUBUR RASHID whose telephone number is (571)272-7218. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Siconolfi can be reached on (571) 272-7124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,836 Page 5

Art Unit: 3657

/Bradley T King/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3657

/M. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 3657